

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,653	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(3) _____.

(2) Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2006

Time: 10:50 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-3,6-7

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that the specification and the claims have minor informalities errors that need to be corrected, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the specification and the claims as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.